

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,293	04/19/2004	Nir Hadar	620/30	9911
7.	590 09/09/2005	•	EXAMINER	
DR. MARK FRIEDMAN LTD.			GROSSO, HARRY A	
C/O BILL POLKINGHORN DISCOVERY DISPATCH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
9003 FLORIN			3727	
UPPER MARL	BORO, MD 20772		DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(vo
9	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/826,293	HADAR, NIR	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry A. Grosso	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 36(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT b, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communica NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	-	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ▼ Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap rity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/826,293 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-13, drawn to a knock-down crate, classified in class 220, subclass 6.

- II. Claims 14-15, drawn to a method of using the product, classified in class53, subclass 452.
- 2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the crate can be used as a bin for receiving or storing donated materials or other products that are not intended to be shipped in the crate.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) Figures 1-7

2) Figures 8-12.

Art Unit: 3727

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

An election of an invention from Groups I and II, and a species from species 1 and 2 is required.

Art Unit: 3727

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Bill Polkinghorn on August 23, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry A. Grosso whose telephone number is 571-272-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lathan Newhouse

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 3727